Assessing First-Year Experience Programs:

Interjecting Learning Motivation and Strategies

Philip D. Gardner, Ph.D.
Collegiate Employment Research Institute
Michigan State University
113 Student Services Building
East Lansing, MI 48824 USA

gardnerp@msu.edu

517-355-2211

International Conference on the First-Year
Experience
University of Bath
Bath, England
June 30 – July 4, 2002

CHALLENGE

Whether it's for budget purposes, accreditation, strategic planning, or simply sound project management, assessment of program outcomes has taken center stage, dictating our work priorities – the allocation of time and effort. An assessment is never easy. However, when the outcomes are linked to retention, academic performance, and possibly satisfaction, the causal relationships are at least straightforward. Recently, the bar has been raised when university leadership asked to assess how programs transform a student's life; what is the value added by a program to the learning process so that a student becomes an engaged learner. From this reference point, an opportunity to investigate how several first-year experience programs presented itself. This paper discusses the mechanics of the process and elaborates on the need for more diligent effort in understanding how our practices influence the learning strategies and motivations of students.

ASSESSMENT: LEARNING AND PERFORMANCE

Terenzini and his colleagues (1992) have described the transition from high school to college as complex, dynamic and purposeful. The process during which a series of interpersonal, academic, and institutional influences shape the students' learning and persistence at the institution (pg 39-40). The transition experience has been widely studied from various perspectives (Nelson, et al., 1984; Noel, 1985; Tinto, 1988, for example). Much of this body of work examined student attrition and how students adjust to new environments (Tinto, 1975; VanGennep, 1960).

Tinto's conceptualization of departure (1975) is widely recognized as the leading theory on college entry. He distinguishes between academic dismissal and voluntary exit. The transition, according to Tinto (1988), encompasses three stages: separation from home, transition into a new environment, and incorporation into the new environment. Institutions have developed strategies to facilitate the transition experience (Noel, 1985): orientation programs (Pascarella, Teronzini, & Wolf, 1986) and residential programs (Blimling, 1993) are two common examples. Transition strategies have been shown to relate positively to outcomes that are defined by persistence, attitudes, and values (Chickerling, 1975).

Within the body of literature, little research has focused on the learning process. A common characteristic of most first-year experiences includes/emphasizes sound learning strategies (time management, study environment, organization, for example). Development of these strategies is measured against academic performance. However, cognitive differences (individual) are seldom taken into consideration when designing these programs.

Megacognitive skills, defined as "thinking about thinking" is the ability to select, evaluate, and adapt cognitive strategies to influence outcomes. Megacognitive ability is a component of the self-regulatory process (Kozlowski, et al., 2001) that involves the use of higher order thinking (synthesizing, integrating, and analyzing). These skills make important contributions to learning in that:

- Related to strong mastery orientation (Thorndike-Christ & Bruning, 1995)
- Related to goal setting (Ridley, et al., 1992)

• Related to motivation and encouragement (Garner, 1990)

The motives for learning (goal orientation) dictates whether an individual holds mastery or performance based learning orientations. Individuals who focus on a mastery orientation focus on improving skills and increasing competence (Elliot & Dweck, 1988).

Studies focus on the acquisition of knowledge for comprehension of basic tasks and concepts (declarative), for complex tasks (procedural) which are more abstract, and for assessment, prioritization, and analysis (strategic) as defined by Kozlowski (2001). Not only is this learning orientation basic and strategic, it is also adaptive (Kozlowski, 1998).

Individuals who prefer a performance orientation bring a different approach to learning. Performance based individuals focus on demonstrating competence (getting good grades) rather than developing competence. Competition (doing better than others) and being perceived as smart are important to these individuals (Meece, 1994). Dweck (1986, 1989) has shown that performance-oriented individuals believe their abilities are fixed and tend to avoid novel or risky situations. A strong performance orientation would likely suppress megacognitive skills (Schraw, et al., 1995).

Goal orientation (mastery vs. performance) has implications for learning motivation (Kozlowski, et al., 2001).

- Mastery oriented learners are more motivated to learn (Archer, 1994).
- Mastery oriented learning maintain motivation during the educational process, basing their performance on improving skills (Banovra, 1991).
- Mastery leads to higher levels of intrinsic motivation and are less likely to withdraw when faced with failure (Nordstrom, et al., 1995; Ivanck & Hesketh, 1995).

FIRST-YEAR EXPERIENCE OPPORTUNITIES

The administration at MSU encourages a variety of first-year experience opportunities across the campus. Curricular offerings, however, are the responsibility of the eleven colleges that offer undergraduate degrees. Thus, a University 101 option, open to any freshman, does not exist unless offered in one of the colleges. Colleges have designed and implemented various ways of integrating first-year students into the college community and orient them to the curricular offerings in their respective colleges. Residential living options, orientation courses ("What can I do with a major in ______?"), academic success seminars (similar to University 101), interest groups, and scholar programs have emerged in one form or another in nearly all 11 colleges.

Students are not admitted to an academic department until they attain 56 credits and have met any other admittance credit. First-year students make a "major preference" selection during their first enrollment session (no-preference is an option). If a student does declare a "major preference", the college responsible for that major shares administrative responsibility for academics – e.g. advising. Some colleges have a number of courses that a freshman or sophomore can take within a major area. Other colleges, such as engineering and business, have very few courses available to students with less than 56 credits. The first-year experience opportunities become a great way for freshman to experience these colleges, in particular.

RESIDENTIAL PROGRAM. The College of Engineering in partnership with the College of Natural Science and College of Agriculture offers a residential program for first-year science and engineering students. Approximately two-thirds of the 300 participants are students with a major preference for engineering. While all students with an interest in science or engineering are invited to apply, the College does establish acceptance criteria because of space limitations in the program. Typically the College of Engineering prefers students who have an ACT score of at least 24.

The Residential Option for Science and Engineering Students (ROSES) is "designed to provide a stronger sense of community and academic support within the greater University" (Zmich, Lux, DeRosa, & Gordon, 1997). All participants live in the same residence hall and enroll in a one-credit class (first semester). The class is taught by faculty and academic staff (advisors) and includes scheduled programs and activities by the residence hall staff. Since science and engineering students commonly take similar courses their first year, the University reserved sections in these courses for ROSES students. ROSES students are encouraged to take advantage of this opportunity when selecting their biology, chemistry, mathematics, computer science, social science, and writing.

Several rooms in the resident hall have been designated as tutoring rooms for individual and group study. Study groups are encouraged. Tutors are available, often an upper classman who has been in the ROSES program. Returning sophomore ROSES participants serve as mentors. The academic year starts with special events at orientation where these freshmen are welcomed by faculty and the academic staff.

ENGINEERING ORIENTATION COURSE. Because the demand for the ROSES program often exceeded the available space, the academic staff with support from faculty offered a one-credit orientation class, which was held one hour per week for the 15-week semester. The class was taught in the Engineering Building. The location was important, as Engineering offers no courses for freshman and only two for sophomores. For many of the first year students in this course, the time spent in the building would be the only time until reaching junior status.

The course enrollment was limited to 100 students, distributed over five sections. The course served as an orientation to the fields of engineering offered at MSU (11 at the time) by introducing key faculty and panels of seniors. Also the students learned about the labor market outlook for engineers, prepared resumes, and attended the Engineering career fair. Opportunities for research, co-ops, and internships were discussed. Over several class sessions, students were provided with time management, study, and test taking tips, presented by the staff from academic support services.

ENGINEERING NO-OPTION. ROSES and the orientation course could accommodate approximately one-half the freshman who indicated a major preference for engineering during enrollment. The remaining students would not be involved in any special first-year program. They can seek guidance from an advisor or their peers. Academic support services are available, but they need to seek them out through their own initiative.

PARTICIPANTS. The target population for this study was the entire ROSES participants and the orientation courses enrollees for the 1997 academic year. The lead faculty for one of the orientation sections opted not to participate. Enrollment services provided a list of freshman who had designated an engineering major preference, but were not in either of the first-year options.

ADMINISTRATION. The survey that was designed for this study (see below) was administered during the first week of the semester in the residence hall to the ROSES students (administered by the faculty in charge) and in the classroom for the orientation course. The major preference list was not available until the fourth week of the semester when the enrollment profile was officially released. Surveys were immediately sent through campus mail and reached the students during the fifth week of classes.

The second wave of the longitudinal design was administered during the final week of the semester to the ROSES and the orientation students in the same manner as the first week. Because the no option group had received their surveys much later, this group was not surveyed at this time.

The final wave, which was timed for the week after spring break, was administered by campus mail. The ROSES participants no longer met regularly with faculty in large groups, making the mailing option necessary for this group. Reminder letters were sent a week later to encourage additional responses.

SURVEY. A survey instrument was designed to tap into several of the key dynamics of the first-year transition. Areas that were addressed included career decision making (career self-efficacy, commitment to engineering), teamwork (individualism-collectivism), adjustment (student adaptation to college questionnaire), personality (affectivity, Big 5 traits), communication (competence), expectations, and learning motivations and strategies. The ensuing discussion addresses only the learning motivations and strategies question, which will be elaborated on at this time.

The Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) was developed at the University of Michigan to explore the cognitive aspects of motivation and learning aspects for taking the college course (Pintrich, Smith, Garcia, and McKeachie, 1991). There are two major components to the survey: (1) motivation which explores the students' goals and beliefs about learning, and (2) learning strategies which assesses the level to which students employ different cognitive and metacognitive strategies in their learning. At the time our study was initiated, this instrument best matched our needs though some subscales did require modification in order to be used outside the classroom. Background on item and scale statistics and scoring can be found in the handbook for the MSLQ (Pintrich, et al., 1991).

Each of the components was comprised of subscales. The complete definitions of each subscale can be found in Appendix A.

Motivational Scales

- Intrinsic goal orientation
- Extrinsic goal orientation
- Task value

- Control of learning beliefs
- Self-efficacy for learning and performance*
- Test anxiety

Learning Strategies

- Rehearsal
- Organization
- Elaboration
- Critical thinking
- Metacognitive self-regulation*
- Time and study environment
- Effort regulation
- Peer learning
- Help seeking

Two of the subscales were modified (*) upon the suggestion of an associate to broaden the focus. The scales were changed to five-point Likert scale with strongly agree to strongly disagree anchors. In addition the following cognitive scales were also included:

- Learning Goal Orientation (Button, Matthew & Zajac, 1995)
- Performance Goal Orientation (Button, Matthew & Zajac, 1995)
- Tendency to be Goal Oriented (Malouff, Schutte, Bauer, Mantell, Pierce, Cordove & Reed, 1990)
- Tendency to Withdraw (adapted from Kanfer & Ackerman, 1989)

Because of the study and length these four scales were not included in a shortened version of the instrument administered at Time 2.

The final information collected for this study is retrieved post-graduation. Through student records, data on final GPA, yearly GPAs, final major, number of major changes, and degree completion (includes leaving the University) will be retrieved this year as the majority of these participants graduated this academic year.

RESPONSE. The administration of the first wave of the survey for all groups went very well. During the semester a few students dropped from the orientation course or disengaged from ROSES. In preparation for the time 2 administration, the survey was purposely shortened. Personality measures, for example, were only collected once. Some scales, as mentioned previously, were omitted from time 2 and reinserted at time 3. Faculty and academic staff encountered some difficulty in getting students to complete the time 2 survey; however the numbers were large enough for statistical purposes.

Disaster struck during the third administration, especially with regards to the ROSES and orientation participants without a means to bring the groups together collectively, academic staff could not encourage them to participate. Even attempts to provide incentives, failed to materialize an adequate response. The no-option held up fairly well, however the number of responses at time 3 raises a number of concerns, as expected. Thus, we proceed with caution.

Response (actual numbers)

	Time 1	Time 2	Time 3	
ROSES	139	139	19	(16)*
Orientation	85	49	23	(16)
No-option	50		35	

^{*}Completed all three waves

BASIC FINDINGS

The respondents can be described as white (84%) males (77%). Nearly 50% entered with an accumulated high school GPA above 3.51. ACT ranged from 19 to 32 with 56% achieving a score of 25 or higher. Sixty-three (63) percent were not working their first semester; 21% were working ten hours or less; 11% were working 11 to 15 hours; and 5% were working more than 16 hours.

At time 1, the three groups had similar scores on both the motivational as well as learning strategies scales. Only one significant difference was found for Control of Learning Beliefs (belief that efforts to learn will result in positive outcomes). In this case, the Orientation group scored significantly lower (F = 5.075, .007) – had less reliance on their own efforts than the other two groups.

At the end of the semester (time 2), the sample populations for the ROSES and Orientation groups were large enough to make comparisons. Only one significant difference was revealed for Control of Learning Beliefs (F = 4.755, .030) with the ROSES group more confident that their efforts would produce positive results. The direction of change (in most cases modest) yielded these inferences for the ROSES group in comparison to the Orientation students:

Learning Strategies

Rehearsal: spent less time memorizing

Organization: improved in selecting appropriate information

Time and study environment: found it hard to manage study environment

Effort regulation: found it difficult to control for distractions

Motivation

Extrinsic goal orientation: enjoyed some learning assignments, less concerned about

Task value: found some courses uninteresting

Test anxiety: increased

For scales not listed above there appeared to be no noticeable change in scores.

At time 3 (end of the school year), the number of participants makes it difficult to measure accurately any shifts in scores. However, the "no option" group is back in the mix and this provides an opportunity to make some general observations. Rather than simply looking at the mean scores, the score differentials (change since the beginning) become important.

- The emphasis on external performance increased sharply for those who had participated in ROSES and Orientation options; no option scores decreased which suggest that this group may be reappraising their goals.
- All groups showed a decline in their attachment to the intrinsic motivations for learning.
- Test anxiety, which had increased during first semester, moderated during second semester, except for the orientation group.
- In their use of learning strategies
 - o ROSES and Orientation began to emphasize more memorization during second semester.
 - ROSES and Orientation improved in selecting appropriate information and the constructs connecting information; no option had a difficult time in organizing information.
 - No option reported issues surrounding elaboration while the other groups experienced no change.
 - o For ROSES and Orientation their metacognitive self-regulation improved while "no option" showed problems in adjusting.
 - The first-year options improved their time management; "no option" did not change.
 - o ROSES participants who had engaged in peer learning during the first semester; did not use it as much during second semester. By the end of the year they placed less reliance on this strategy. No option showed very little peer learning.

DISCUSSION

Even though the lack of participation in the third wave presented obstacles for the completion of the project as designed, we are still proceeding in our attempts to understand the learning motivations of first-year students. We are working with several observations that warrant further attention.

- In both first-year experiences participants showed strong gains in strategies that led to increase academic performance. Students with no coaching tend to learn by themselves and do not utilize learning strategies as well.
- During first semester the first-year participants are constantly in contact with faculty and academic staff who reinforce strategies and provide assistance in making connections. Without their presence during second semester, both groups drift back toward the norms of the entire freshman class. In particular, ROSES participants tend to use their peers less as a learning strategy. This may be a result of an increased emphasis on performance goals which are much more competitive.
- The heavy emphasis on extrinsic, as opposed to intrinsic, learning goals is troubling. In a separate set of questions, participants were asked their expectation entering college for faculty, courses, and social activities. The one expectation that showed the most dramatic shift was in how they viewed success. Entering first-year students expected to find, frequently, alternatives to areas to achieve success (other than classroom performance). What they actually found were very few areas and noted that the only legitimate performance benchmark was grades.

• The lack of intrinsic motivations is frustrating. The percentage breakdown on this scale, reflect a pattern found in an earlier study where 20% of students were engaged in learning for intrinsic values while 60-70% followed a performance, checklist approach. This suggests that turning the ship around and head in the opposite direction may be problematic.

The use of learning motivation and strategy measures for assessing programs looks promising. Adding another component to the student development (retention, social adjustment) piece continues to be warranted. The measures we used may not be the best ones for non-classroom situations. The following section briefly highlights recent developments in learning theory.

ADAPTIVE LEARNING SYSTEMS

Since starting this project, research on cognitive and complex skill development has made major advancements. Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) according to Kozlowski (2001) enhances the development of complex knowledge and learning strategies grounded in a performance environment. ALS links together learning, motivation, and performance (Smith, Ford & Kozlowski, 1993). This model has been built upon work that has separated performance into negative and positive dimensions, depending upon how a learner deals with failure to meet a goal or a self-established outcome. If the negative discrepancies grew too large, the learner withdraws from the activity. As Kozlowski (2001) summarizes: "Positive affect enhances motivation, learning, and performance, and provides resilience to the failures that are inherent in complex skill acquisition. Negative affect degrades motivation..." (p. 66).

Feedback is the critical factor in sustaining learning. As Kozlowski (2001) emphasizes, it is the interpretation of the feedback that is critical. There are three types of feedback.

- 1. Evaluation which concerns past events what happened. This could be a grade or a sign that indicates a positive or negative outcome to a performance.
- 2. Attribution which is also past oriented why it happened. Examines causes for the performance and tries to determine if performance can be influenced.
- 3. Guidance which is future oriented what next. Requires reflection and behavioral guidance to direct future outcomes. Guidance feedback concerns future action, thoughts and feelings.

A typical student commonly receives lots of evaluation and some attribution; rarely guidance.

- Feedback from a mastery perspective would focus on what concepts/facts have not been learned.
- Feedback from a performance perspective would focus on the level of performance achieved.

The instructional goals have to reflect the goal orientation. Performance goals require a student to have an interest in the subject (sees relevance of course), have the ability to accomplish the assignments, and expect clear feedback. Performance goals do not work well in complex situations because of the possibility of failure and this needs to accomplish new skills to succeed. Continued emphasis on performance goals will lead to continued learning problems (Dweck

1986, 1989). Students have been shown to learn less and exhibit more dissatisfaction when held to performance goals (DeShon & Alexander, 1996).

Students actually need to develop strong mastery goal orientation. One influence of mastery goals is that students make better use of learning strategies (Archer, 1994; Pintrich & DeGroot, 1990). Other positive outcomes include:

- Continued effort after failure (Archer, 1994).
- Creates a positive attitude toward learning (Archer, 1994).
- Increases cooperation among learners (Duda & Nicholls, 1992).

In other words, mastery (intrinsic) goals lead to the development of knowledge and skills.

Another key to ALS is practice – preferably in context. Practice makes skills more "real" rather than the abstract, lecture-based domain (Kozlowski, 2001). For example,

- Students can develop their own hypotheses or problem solutions (Frese and Altmann, 1989).
- Be involved in experimentation.
- Encourage students to make errors and learn from critiquing outcome.

The challenge is to work these ideas into the first-year experience and then take time to reflect with the students. The objective would be to change behavior and widen slope of motivation. The key principle embedded in this approach is that complex skills are not <u>taught</u> but rather <u>guided</u> through development. During the next school year we will begin to work with some of these ideas. We will monitor goal orientation (using some new scales) and learning strategies.

IS THIS LEVEL OF ASSESSMENT JUSTIFIED?

Why pursue assessment to this level with all its inherent difficulty? Certainly confirmation that first year experiences improve retention, support academic performance, and successfully integrate students into a community would be sufficient to justify these programs. Unfortunately, the stakes are much higher. If our intention is to transform the lives of those whom we teach, the transformation must result in the ability to engage successfully in the world. A world where complexity is increasing: in work, in community, and in personal lives. A complex world places greater emphasis on cognitive skills and specialized expertise (Kozlowski, et al., 2001). In a complex, fast world adaptive skills are required to accomplish ambiguous, changing tasks that require quick assessment of a situation, finding possible solutions, and implementing appropriate strategies (Orasanu & Connolly, 1993). As technology assumes more controlled routine operations, fewer people will be required but these individuals will have broader roles, greater responsibility, and need more critical skills (Kozlowski, et al., 2001). Learning outcomes (mastery, intrinsic) become more important; this demand strengthens the rationale for assessments that probe whether our actions as faculty contribute to the development of adaptive learning.

APPENDIX A

MOTIVATION

Extrinsic Goal Orientation

Concerns the degree to which the student perceives herself to be participating in a task for reasons such as grades, rewards, performance, evaluation by others, and competition; learning a means to an end; student not interested in participating in learning task.

Intrinsic Goal Orientation

The student's perception of the reasons why she is engaging in a learning task; concerns the degree to which the student perceives herself to be participating in a task for reasons such as challenge, curiosity, mastery; participates in the task as an end all to itself, rather than participation being a means to an end.

Task Value

Student's evaluation of how interesting, how important, and how useful task is; high task value more involvement in learning.

Control for Learning Beliefs

Student's belief that their efforts to learn will result in positive outcomes; concerns belief that outcomes are contingent on one's own effort, in contrast to external factors such as the teacher; more likely to study more strategically/effectively.

Test Anxiety

Text anxiety is negatively related to expectancies as well as academic performance; cognitive component – worry and emotional component; cognitive greatest source of performance loss.

STRATEGIES

Rehearsal

Involves reciting or naming items from a list to be learned; memorizing – not acquisition of new knowledge; do not help integrate information with prior knowledge.

Organization

Strategies that help learner select appropriate information and construct connections among the information to be learned.

Elaboration

Strategies help students store information into long-term memory by building internal connections.

Critical Thinking

Applying previous knowledge to new situations to solve problems, reach decisions, or make critical evaluations.

Metacognitive Self-Regulation

Awareness, knowledge and control of cognition; focus on regulating activities – continuous adjustment.

Time and Study Environment

Manage and regulate time and study environments.

Effort Regulation

Student's ability to control their effort and attention in face of distractions and uninteresting tasks.

Peer Learning

Collaborating with one's peers; dialogue with peers to clarify course material and reach insights.

Help Seeking

Identify someone to provide him or her with assistance.

APPENDIX B





This survey captures information on the academic and social development of students during their first years at Michigan State University. This information will be used to evaluate and strengthen program initiatives for freshman and sophomores. The results will be shared with faculty, advisors, and students in order to enhance the MSU experience for all.

Your participation in this study is important, yet voluntary. You have the right to refuse to answer any question. However, we would appreciate it if you could answer all questions in order to minimize the amount of missing information that makes it difficult to analyze data. All responses will be kept confidential. Results will be reported in an aggregated format; no individual will be identified.

The survey takes approximately 30 to 45 minutes to complete. You do not have to complete the survey in one sitting. However, we would like you to return it within a week.

If you have any questions about this project, please contact Dr. Phil Gardner at 355-2211, Cindy Helman at 353-3780, or Debbie Hatton at 355-6616.

The return of this survey constitutes your informed and voluntary consent to participate in this project.

MSU is an affirmative-action, equal opportunity institution.

Name	
PID	

This set of statements explores your learning and performance orientations. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Place the number from the scale in the space provided to the left of the statement.

	Strong Agree	-	Undecided/ Neutral 3	Disagree 4	Strongly Disagree 5			
	1. 2. 3.	I do my best when I am w The things I enjoy the mos When I fail to complete a on it.	st are the things I	do the best.				
-	4.		tasks that I have done well on in the past.					
	6.	I like to be fairly confiden	challenging work is important to me. ident that I can successfully perform a task before I attempt					
	7. 8.	it. The opportunity to extend I am happiest at work who any errors.						
	9.	I prefer to work on tasks t						
	10.	I feel smart when I do son	•		iistakes.			
-	11. 12.	I try hard to improve on n I feel smart when I can do			ther people			
-	13.	The opportunity to learn r						
	14.	I prefer to do things that I						
	15.	I feel smart when I can do	something bette	r than most o	ther people.			
	16.	When I have difficulty so	lving a problem,	I enjoy trying	g different approaches.			

This set of statements refers to the judgments you hold regarding your ability to perform in a college environment. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Place the number from the scale in the space provided to the left of each statement.

Strongly Agree 1		Agree 2	Undecided/ Neutral 3	Disagree 4	Strongly Disagree 5
1. 2. 3.	I am certai	will receive excel in I can understand dent I can learn th	d the basic concep	t taught in my c	ourses. erial presented in my
4. 5. 6. 7.	4. I am confident I can 5. I believe I can do a 6. I expect to do well a 7. I am confident I can		on assignments a cally. the knowledge an	and tests in my c	ourses. n college.

This set of statements examines short and long-term planning and goal orientation. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Place the number from the scale in the space provided to the left of each statement.

Strong	gly	Undecided/	Strongly				
Agre	ee Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree			
1	2	3	4	5			
1.	I rarely think about what I v	will be doing a	year from now	•			
2.	I think about possible long-						
3.	I usually plan vacations (sp						
4.	I avoid setting goals for my	self.	,				
5.	I often plan for the future.						
6.		st never write down my long-range goals.					
7.	I often think about my job of	out my job or career goals.					
8.		goals as a waste of time for me.					
9.	I develop a plan for all impe						
10.		for over a year ahead as pointless for me.					
11.	I am goal-oriented.	-					
12.	I often set long-range goals	•					
13.	I never or almost never mal	ke a written pla	n for reaching	a goal.			
14.	I spend a substantial amour	nt of time plann	ing how to rea	ch my goals.			
15.		king on projects at the last minute.					
	3 1	-					

This set of statements explores how a person handles situations in classes where difficulties arise. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Place the number from the scale in the space provided to the left of each statement.

	ongly gree 1	Agree 2	Undecided/ Neutral 3	Disagree 4	Strongly Disagree 5			
1.	When I enc wanders.	ounter difficult	ies in my school	work, I find	that my attention often			
2.	I feel bad a	bout myself wh	en my course wo	ork isn't goin	g so well.			
3.	3. Some people "rise to		ise to the challenge" when they encounter difficulties in their at I usually try to find an easy way out instead.					
4.			yself when my course work is not so good.					
5.	When my s doing.	school work isn	n't going well, I tend to think about how poorly I am					
6.	I often thin handle.	k that my diffic	ficulties with course work are just too overwhelming to					
7.	7. I am often from (school).		my ability to imp	formance in college				
8.	,	get upset with	my performance	e in courses.				

Your arrival at Michigan State has introduced you to a new environment with new experiences. This question explores how you generally feel. Please circle the appropriate number from the scale that refers to how frequently you feel like this.

		Never	Once in a while	Sometimes	Fairly often	Very Frequently
1.	Active	1	2	3	4	5
2.	Upset	1	2	3	4	5
3.	Proud	1	2	3	4	5
4.	Afraid	1	2	3	4	5
5.	Interested	1	2	3	4	5
6.	Jittery	1	2	3	4	5
7.	Nervous	1	2	3	4	5
8.	Attentive	1	2	3	4	5
9.	Determined	1	2	3	4	5
10.	Distressed	1	2	3	4	5
11.	Alert	1	2	3	4	5
12.	Hostile	1	2	3	4	5
13.	Guilty	1::	2	3	4	5
14.	Strong	1	2	3	4	5
15.	Inspired	1	2	3	4	5
16.	Irritable	1	2	3	4	5
17.	Excited	1	2	3	4	5
18.	Ashamed	1	2	3	4	5
19.	Scared	1	2	3	4	5
20.	Enthusiastic	1	2	3	4	5

How Accurately Can You Describe Yourself?

Please use this list of common human traits to describe yourself as accurately as possible. Describe yourself as you see yourself at the present time, not as you wish to be in the future. Describe yourself as you typically or generally are, as compared with others persons you know of the same sex and of roughly your same age. Before each trait, please write a number indicating how accurately that trait describes you, using the following scale:

	rate	?		Accurat	e			
Extremely	Very	Moderately	Slightly		Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Bol Car Col Cor Cor Cor De Dis	reless ld mplex operative eative	En E	nergetic nvious ktroverted retful arsh naginative efficient tellectual alous			Moody Organized Philosophical Practical Quiet Relaxed Rude Shy Sloppy Sympathetic		Systematic Talkative Temperamental Touchy Uncreative Unenvious Unintellectual Unsympathetic Warm Withdrawn

These statements explore how you like to accomplish tasks. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Place the number from the scale in the space provided to the left of each statement.

Stro	ngly		Undecided/		Strongly				
Agi		Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree				
1		2	3	4	5				
1	0.1.4	1 . 1 1	41 1 4 - 1	. 4 ! 1!.C.					
$\frac{1}{2}$	•	_	n themselves get ahe	ad in me.					
2. 3. 4.	_	everything.	ing with others in a group rather than working alone.						
3.			vare that if they are g						
	are someting	mes going to ha	ive to do things they	don't want to do.					
<u> </u>	A group is	more productiv	ve when its members	do what they want	to do.				
6. 7. 8.			ust stand alone.						
7.			ortant in both work a						
8.			rather do a job when		rather than				
			where I have to work with others in a group.						
9.	-		long to a group realize that they are not always going to get what						
	they persor								
10.			ost efficient when its members do what they think is best rather than						
			he group wants them to do.						
11.	•	_	comething done right, you have got to do it yourself.						
12.			tant thing in life.						
13.			etter than working a						
14.			realize that they som he group as a whole.		have to make				
15.	A group is concerns.	more productiv	ve when its members	s follow their own in	nterests and				
16.	What happ	ens to me is my	y own doing.						
17.			people perform bette	r than I do.					
18.		group should	be willing to make sa		e of the group's				
19.	_		erson you can count	on is vourself.					
20.			ough; it is important						

The following questions ask about your motivation for and attitudes about the classes you will be taking this semester. Remember there are no right or wrong answers, just answer as accurately as possible. Use the scale below to answer the questions. If you think the statement is very true of you, place a 7 in the space; if a statement is not at all true of you, place a 1 in the space. If the statement is more or less true of you, find the number between 1 and 7 that best describes you.

	Not a	t all		Moderate	y		Very true
	true of			true of me			of me
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	1.	In my classes, I	prefer course	material that	eally challen	ges me so I can	learn new
		things.					
	2.	If I study in app					
-	3.	When I take a to					
	4.	I think I will be					
	5.	Getting a good					
	6.					ne test I can't an	swer.
	7.	It is my own far					
	8.	It is important f					
	9.						le point average,
		so my main con					
	10.	If I can, I want				ost of the other	students.
	11.	When I take tes					
	12.					y, even if it is di	fficult to learn.
	13.	I am very intere					
	14.	If I try hard end				iterial.	
	15.	I have an uneas	• •				
/	16.			me in my cou	rses is trying	to understand th	ne content as
		thoroughly as p					
	17.	I think the cour					
	18.					rse assignments	that I can learn
		from even if the					
	19.				is because I d	lid not try hard o	enough.
	20.	I like the subject					
	21.					mportant to me.	
	22.	I feel my heart					
	23.				s important to	o show my abilit	ty to my family,
		friends, employ	er, and others	•			

This set of statements explores how often you think about what you are ready for or studying. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Place the number from the scale in the space provided to the left of each statement.

Stro	Strongly		Undecided/					
Aş	gree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree			
	1	2	3	4	5			
1.	1. During times whe		ying to learn, I o	often miss poin	nts because I am thin	king		
2.	When I an my learnir		something new,	I make up que	estions to help focus	on		
3.	When I be figure it or		come confused while I am trying to learn, I usually go back and tot.					
4.	4. In general, I ask n trying to learn.		myself questions to make sure I understand things I have b					
5.	I try to cha	ange the way I le	e the way I learn in order to fit the demands of the situation or topic.					
6.		d that when I have the subsers what it is ab	_	about somethi	ng I want to learn I o	can		
7.		ning something to learn from it r	•	_	opic and decide what nout thinking.	t I am		
8.	8. Usually, when I as		am learning something new I try to determine which well and adjust my learning strategies accordingly.			[do		
9.	When I str	udy a new topic,	I set goals for m	nyself in order	to direct my activitie	es.		
10.	•	nfused when I an n as I can before	•	thing new, I al	ways make sure I so	ort it		

The following statements ask about your learning strategies and study skills for your courses this semester. There are no right or wrong answers. Use the scale below to answer the questions. If you think the statement is very true of you, place a 7 in the space; if a statement is not at all true of you, place a 1 in the space. If the statement is more or less true of you, find the number between 1 and 7 that best describes you.

	Not at true of		3	Moderately true of me	5	6	Very true of me
	1.	When I study thoughts.	ne readings fo	or my courses, I o	utline the 1	material to help r	ne organize my
-	2.	•	for my cours	es, I often try to e	explain the	material to a cla	ssmate or
	3.	I usually study	in a place wh	ere I can concent	rate on my	course work.	
	4.	I often feel so la planned to do.	azy or bored	when I study for 1	ny courses	s that I quit befor	e I finish what I
-	5.	I often find mysthem convincin	•	ng things I hear o	r read in n	ny courses to dec	ide if I find
	6.	When I study for	or my courses	s, I practice saying	g the mater	rial to myself ove	er and over.
	7.	Even if I have to own, without he		ng the material in	my course	es, I try to do the	work on my

	Not at all true of me			Moderately		Very true		
				true of me			of me	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
—	8.	When I study for the most import		I go through the	readings a	nd class notes an	nd try to find	
	9.	I make good use	e of my study	time for my cou	rses.			
	10.	I try to work wi	th other studer	nts from my cou	rses to com	plete the course	assignments.	
0 0	11.	over again.	-	•		nd the course rea		
	12.	to decide if ther	e is good supp	orting evidence		in class or in the		
	13.	I work hard to d	lo well in my	courses even if I	do not like	what we are doi	ing.	
	14.					nize course mate		
	15.	When studying group of classm	•	s, I often set asi	de time to d	iscuss course ma	aterial with a	
	16.	I treat the cours	e material as a	starting point a	nd try to de	velop my own ic	deas about it.	
	17.	I find it hard to						
	18.	When I study for lectures, reading			nformation	from different so	ources, such as	
	19.	I ask the instruc			understand	well.		
	20.	I memorize kev	words to rem	ind me of impor	tant concen	ts in this course.		
	21.					udy the easy part		
	22.					rses whenever p		
	23.					nd make an outli		
	24.		or my classes.	I try to relate th	e material t	to what I already	know.	
	25.	I have a regular				,		
	26.				ited to what	t I am learning in	n my courses.	
	27.		or my courses,			the main ideas f		
	28.			e material in my	courses I	ask other classm	ates for help.	
	29.		and the materia	al in my courses		connections bet		
	30.				adings and a	assignments for	my courses.	
	31.		1 1	•	_	classes, I think		
	32.	I make lists of i	important item	s for my course	s and memo	orize the lists.		
	33.	I attend my clas						
	34.	•			nteresting, I	manage to keep	working until I	
	35.		students in m	y courses whom	I can ask fo	or help if necess	ary.	
	36.					rses because of		
	37.			y notes or readi				
	38.					ctivities such as	lecture and	
		discussion.		Č				

The following statements concern your decision to major in engineering. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. Place the number from the scale in the space provided to the left of each statement.

searc in the sp	acc provide	V 10 1110 1011 01						
Strong	•	Somewhat		ecided/		mewhat	Strongly Disagree	
Agre	e	Agree	Nei	utral	ע	isagree	_	
1		2		3		4	5	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	 I have planned to major in an engineering field since, at least, my senior year in high school. No single area of engineering strongly appeals to me. I am not sure my decision to major in engineering is right for me. If I had to make a choice of which engineering major to enter, I am certain I could make a good choice. I am concerned my interest in engineering may change this year. 							
For each statement below, please read carefully and indicate how much confidence you have that you could accomplish each of these tasks by marking your answer according to the following 10-point continuum. Mark your answer in the space provided to the left of each statement.								
No confidence		ery Little	Some		Much		Complete	
at all	E	Extent	Confide		Confiden		Confidence	
0	1 2	3	4	5 6	5	7	8 9	
HOW MUCH CONFIDENCE DO YOU HAVE THAT YOU COULD								

1	1.	Find information in the library about engineering/computer science occupations you are
		interested in.
	2.	Select one major from a list of potential majors you are considering.
	3.	Make a plan of your goals for the next five years.
	4.	Determine the steps to take if you are having academic trouble with an aspect of your engineering/computer science major.
	5.	Accurately assess your abilities.
	6.	Select one engineering/computer science occupation from a list of potential accounting occupations you are considering.
2	7.	Determine the steps you need to take to successfully complete your engineering/computer science major.
(8.	Persistently work at your engineering/computer science major or career goal even when you get frustrated.
	9.	Determine what your ideal job would be.
	10.	Find out the employment trends for an engineer/computer scientist over the next ten years.
	11.	Choose a career that will fit your preferred lifestyle.
	12	Prepare a good resume.
	13.	Change majors if you did not like engineering/computer science.
	14.	Decide what you value most in an occupation.
	15.	Find out about the average yearly earnings of people in an engineering/computer science
	13.	occupation.

No confidence at all	Extent	(Some Confidence	Much Confidence	Complete Confidence			
0	1 2	3 4	5	6 7	8 9			
	CONFIDENCE D	O YOU HAV	E THAT YOU CO	ULD				
16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25.								
	Background questions: These questions allow profiles of various student populations to be compiled. All information will be aggregated; no individual information will be reported.							
1. Male	Female	_ (check or	ne)					
	ackground: (chec Afro-American Hispanic or Spanish speaki		_ Asian-American Native-American	Cauca Other	asian			
3. Age:								
	s your high schools your class stand		t average?	GPA				
5. What wa	s your score (last	time you too		ACT r SAT (total)	-			
6. How man	ny hours are you	working per	week this semeste	er?hours				
	ny courses are you		semester?	courses				

8. Please indicate the highest level of education obtained by your parents or legal guardians:

Father		<u>Mother</u>
	High School	
	Some College	
	Associates Degree	
	Bachelors Degree	
	Masters Degree	
	Professional Degree	
S 	(MD, DVM, Law)	-
	Ph.D.	

9. Please complete the following questions with yourself in mind. Circle the number from the scale based on whether you strongly agree, agree, are undecided or neutral, disagree or strongly disagree.

Strongly Somewhat Undecided/ Somewhat Strongly

Strongly	Somewhat U	Jndecided/		Som	ewhat	Stro	ngly
Agree	Agree	Neutral		Disa	agree	Disa	agree
1	2	3			4		5
1. I find it easy to g	et along with others	1	2	3	4	5	
2. I can adapt to cha	_	1	2	3	4	5	
3. I treat people as i		1	2	3	4	5	
4. I interrupt others		1	2	3	4	5	
5. I am "rewarding"		1	2	3	4	5	
6. I can deal with of		1	2	3	4	5	
7. I am a good lister	•	1	2	3	4	5	
_	tions are cold & distant	1	2	3	4	5	
9. I am easy to talk		1	2	3	4	5	
	th someone just to prove	ī	_	3	•	5	
am right	in someone just to prove	1	2	3	4	5	
	behavior is not "smooth'	_	2	3	4	5	
12. I ignore other per		î	2	3	4	5	
13. I generally know		î	2	3	4	5	
	how I understand them	1	2	3	4	5	
15. I understand other		i	2	3	4	5	
	comfortable with speaking		2	3	4	5	
17. I listen to what p	-	1	2	3	4	5	
-	and personal with people	: 1	2	3	4	5	
	what type of behavior is						
appropriate in an	V -	1	2	3	4	5	
	nake unusual demands of	n					
my friends		1	2	3	4	5	
21. I am an effective	conversationalist	1	2	3	4	5	
22. I am supportive of	of others	1	2	3	4	5	
23. I do not mind me		1	2	3	4	5	
	yself in another person's sh	oes 1	2	3	4	5	
	on to the conversation	1	2	3	4	5	

Ag	<i>U</i> ,	omewhat Agree 2	Undeci Neutr 3			Somew Disagn 4	vhat ree	Strongly Disagree 5
a new at 27. I am int 28. I do not 29. I enjoy a new pec 30. I am a li 31. I am fle 32. I am not 33. People c 34. I genera 35. I like to	ikeable person xible afraid to speak with can come to me wi ally say the right th use my voice and	ners have to sa sation very we where I can me it people in authorith their proble hings at the righ	ell eet ority ems ht time	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
10. As you a.	 10. As you begin college, we are interested in your expectations. a. What do you expect your overall grade point average (GPA) to be at the end of this semester? A to AB+ to BB- to C+C to CD to F b. What grade do you expect to earn in these courses? You do not have to answer if you are not enrolled in one of these courses: 							
Mathematics:A to AB+ to BB- to C+C to CD to F Science:A to AB+ to BB- to C+C to CD to F ATL:A to AB+ to BB- to C+C to CD to F Integrated Studies:A to AB+ to BB- to C+C to CD to F c. To what extent do you expect to have informal contact with faculty outside of class for periods of 10-15 minutes per month: Not atOnce inFairlyVery alla whileSometimesOftenFrequently 1								C D to F C D to F atside of class Very
d.	To what extent do	you expect fa	culty to	influen	ce your	•		

Intellectual development:

Not at	Limited	Moderate	Considerable	A great
all	amount	amount	amount	amount
1	2	3	4	5

Personal development:

Not at	Limited	Moderate	Considerable	A great
all	amount	amount	amount	amount
1	2	3	4	5

e. Please respond to these statements:

I expect the quality of the programs at MSU to be:

Very poor	Poor	Good	Very good
1	2	3	4

I expect the variety of courses available to me to be of:

Very little	Some	Ample	Quite a	Great deal
variety	variety	variety	bit of	of variety
			variety	
1	2	3	4	5

I expect my class sizes to be:

Very small	Somewhat small	Neither small nor	Somewhat large	Very large
	Siliali	large	large	large
1	2	3	4	5

I expect to make friends:

Very difficult	Somewhat difficult	Neither easily or difficult	Somewhat easily	Very easily
1	2	3	4	5

I expect computer resources to be:

Not available	Available once in	Available sometimes	Available fairly	Available frequently if
	awhile		often	not always
1	2	3	4	5

I expect that cultural differences will be:

Not acknowledged at all	Acknowledged once in awhile	Acknowledged sometimes	Acknowledged fairly often	Acknowledged very often
1	2	3	4 5	;

I expect campus rules and regulations to be:

Extremely	Somewhat	Neither fair	Somewhat	Extremely
unfair	unfair	or unfair	fair	fair
1	2	3	4	5

I expect my participation in class to be:

Not at all	Once in	Sometimes	Fairly	Very
	awhile		often	often
1	2	3	4	5

I expect student support services (advising, resident hall staff) to be:

Available	Available	Available	Available	Available
none of the	once in	sometimes	fairly	a great deal
time	awhile		often	of the time
1	2	3	4	5

I expect that the teaching method used in my courses will:

Not match	Somewhat	Modestly	Match pretty	Match my
my learning	match my	match my	closely my	learning
style at all	learning style	learning style	learning style	style very
-				closely
1	2	3	4	5

I expect a sense of community to be:

Not promoted	Promoted	Promoted	Promoted	Promoted
at all	somewhat	moderately	considerably	a great deal
1	2	3	4	5

I expect that alternative areas for student success (other than classroom performance) will be:

Not at all available	Available once in awhile	Available sometimes	Available fairly often	Available frequently
1	2	3	4	5

This final set of questions asks you to <u>predict</u> about how you feel about yourself and your life situation as you begin your academic program at Michigan State. We would like you to make your "best guess" as to how things will go for you. Your guess, however, can be based on your knowledge of yourself, how you have reacted to situations in the past, and what you know about Michigan State. Remember we are asking you for your predictions <u>not</u> your hopes on how things will turn out.

For each statement circle your response from the scale. At the left end of "1" position, the statement applies very closely to you. As you move toward "5" the statement still applies but to a lessor extent. From "6" to "9" the statements do not apply to you from not very closely (6) to not at all (9).

Doesn't apply to me

Please be sure to complete the entire set of questions.

Applies to me

Very Somewhat	No	t very	,511 t ta ₁		Not at				
closely < closely	clo	sely -		>	all				
1 2 3 4 5		6	7	8	9				
I expect:									
1. To fit in well as part of the MSU environment	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2. To feel tense or nervous	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
3. To keep up to date on my academic work	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
4. To meet as many people, and make as many									
friends, as I would like at college	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5. To know why I'm in college and what I want									
out of it	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
6. To find academic work at MSU difficult	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
7. To feel blue and moody a lot	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
8. To be very involved with social activities									
in college	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
9. To adjust well to college	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10.To have difficulty functioning well during exams	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
11.To feel tired much of the time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
12. That being on my own, taking responsibility for									
myself, will not be easy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
13. To be satisfied with the level at which I									
perform academically	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
14. To have informal, personal contacts with									
MSU professors	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
15.To be pleased about my decision to go to college	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
16.To be please about my decision to attend MSU									
in particular	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
17.To <u>not</u> work as hard as I should at my coursework	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
18.To have several close social ties at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
19.To have well-defined academic goals and purposes	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
20.To have difficulty controlling my emotions well	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
21. To feel that I'm really not smart enough for the									
academic work I am expected to do at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
22. To find that lonesomeness for home is a source									
of difficulty for me	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
23. To feel that getting a college degree is very		_	_		_	_	_	_	_
important to me	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

24.To have a good appetite	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
25.To not be very efficient in the use of study time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
26.To enjoy living in a college resident hall	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
27. To enjoy writing papers for courses	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
28.To have a lot of headaches	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
29. To not have much motivation for studying	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
30. To be satisfied with the extracurricular	1	_	,	-	5	U	,	U	
activities at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		2	3	4	3	U	/	o	9
31. To give considerable thought to whether I									
should ask for psychological services at the	920	_			_		_	_	_
Univ. or from a psychotherapist outside of MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
32. To have doubts regarding the value of college									
education	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
33.To get along very well with my roommate(s)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
34. To wish that I were at another college/univ.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
rather than MSU									
35.To put on (or lose) too much weight	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
36. To be satisfied with the number and variety	_		-		_	•		•	-
of courses available at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
37. To feel that I have enough social skill to get	1	_	5		,	U	,	U	
	1	2	2	4	5	6	7	0	٥
along well in the college setting	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
38.To get angry too easily	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
39. To have trouble concentrating when I try to study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
40.To have trouble sleeping well	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
41. To <u>not</u> do well enough academically for the									
amount of work I put it	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
42. To have difficulty feeling at ease with other									
people at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
43. To be satisfied with the quality of courses									
available at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
44. To attend classes regularly	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
45. To feel that my thinking sometimes gets		4	,	7	5	U	,	o	,
	1	2	2	4	_	,	7	0	0
muddled up too easily	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
46. To be satisfied with the extent to which I		_	•		_	_	_		_
participate in social activities at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
47. To intend to stay at MSU for a bachelor's degree	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
48. To have difficulty mixing well with the opposite									
sex	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
49. To worry a lot about my college expenses 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
50. To enjoy my academic work at college	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
51.To feel lonely a lot at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
52. To have a lot of trouble getting started on home-					_	_	·	_	-
work assign.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
53. To feel that I have good control over my life	*	2	,	7	,	v	,	U	
situation at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	4	7	o	0
	1	2	3	4	3	6	/	8	9
54. To be satisfied with my program of courses	20	_	_		_	_	_		_
for the semester	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
55.To feel in good health	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
56. To feel that I am very different from other									
students at MSU in ways that I don't like	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
57. To prefer to be at home rather than at college									
-									
58. To feel that most of the things I am interested									
in are not related to any of my coursework	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
at MSU	1	2	,	7	5	J	,	J	,
59. To give a lot of thought to transferring to	1	2	2	4	F		-	0	0
another college	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

60. To give a lot of thought to dropping out of									
college altogether and for good	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
61. To give considerable thought to taking time									
off from college and finishing later	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
62. To be very satisfied with the profs. I have in									
my courses	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
63. To have some good friends or acquaintances									
at MSU with whom I can talk about any									
problems I may have	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
64. To experience a lot of difficulty coping									
with the stresses imposed upon me in college	1	2	3	4	5 5	6 6	7	8	9
65. To be satisfied with my social life at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
66. To be satisfied with my academic situation									
at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
67. To feel confident that I will be able to deal									
in a satisfactory manner with future challenges									
at MSU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9